

## BY TELEGRAPH.

## WEATHER AND RIVERS.

ST. LOUIS, May 31.—The river is rising at this point.  
NEW ORLEANS, May 31.—Arrived: Swallow. Departed: Emma No. 3.  
LOUISVILLE, May 31.—The river is stationary, with seven feet nine inches in the canal.  
CAIRO, May 31.—The river fell seven inches to-day. The weather is clear and pleasant.  
UP: City of Cairo, 5 a.m.; Great Republic, 9 a.m.; Lafayette, 12 m. Down: Magna, 9 p.m. last night; Longworth, 7 p.m.

## FROM NEW YORK.

Butler on the Alabama Controversy.—The Herald on Cuban Affairs.

NEW YORK, May 31.—Congressman Butler is reported to have said that the law in the Alabama controversy is on the side of England, and it had been so decided by our Supreme Courts, but that we should, nevertheless, withdraw from our interference with England because of her course in taking advantage of a technical law to injure us. He opposed a reciprocity treaty with Canada, as we were unfairly treated in the last one, but that such a treaty would be of great advantage to Canada and England. He expresses the greatest confidence in Boutwell's policy, and considers him the best Secretary of the Treasury since Hamilton.

The Herald says a proclamation of belligerent rights to the Cubans from President Grant would now settle the question in Cuba in less than a month. In fact, the Cubans may settle it within that time, but what a sorry settlement it would be for the United States. The country expects the annexation of Cuba. Gen. Grant ought to be ashamed of this sort of American dignity and honor. It is nonsense, it is stupid old dogma, and we have had enough of it.

FROM SOUTH AMERICA.  
Recognition of Cuba by Peru.—American Sailors in Prison.—Chili.

NEW YORK, May 31.—A Lima letter of the 14th says the Government has recognized the Cubans as belligerents, and has decided to grant them all usual privileges.

Senior Valery, a Cuban Commissioner, had arrived at Lima sometime previously to ask the Government to allow the Cubans to fight for the United States. He was thrown into prison, and the request has not been complied with.

A Panama letter says three sailors, belonging to the British ship Kensington, who were thrown into prison some time ago by the police in Aspinwall, prove to be American. They arrived during the war, and the British are trying to get them out. They were fired upon, wounded and put into chains, and have remained for a month, almost starved, without any prospect for a trial.

Mosquera's chances for the Presidency are brightening daily. His election will be a great triumph for the United States, and the subject of Republicanism.

Calderon's advice of the 14th state that the Cubans are ready to fight. The question of recognizing the Cubans as belligerents.

FROM WASHINGTON.  
Could appointed Collector First District of Georgia.—Stephen A. Douglas' Mother's Death.—President Grant goes to Annapolis and West Point.

WASHINGTON, May 31.—John H. Garfield has been appointed Collector of the First District of Georgia.

Four first-class iron-clad revenue cutters have been constructed by the Treasury Department.

Stephen A. Douglas' mother died to-day, aged 85.

The President departs for Annapolis on Thursday, and for West Point on the 10th.

The receipts from internal revenue for the month were \$20,250,000.

The Commander of the Pacific Squadron has been ordered to give all possible aid to the American and Chinese Telegraph Company.

Negro Bessett, Minister to Haiti, has received his instructions. He is to present, but not to press, the present settlement. Bessett was instructed by Grant to assure the Haytiens of his good will.

Longstreet, in reply to the demands of the War Department for the same claimed against him at the date of his resignation, has given vouchers with the original documents, concluding that "the confusion incident to the stirring events of the last few years has led to the loss of a great many of my papers, and leaving me no resource to your records."

WASHINGTON, May 31.—Dr. Allen Magruder, an ex-Mayor of this city, died to-day.

FROM ST. LOUIS.  
News from the Plains.

ST. LOUIS, May 31.—A dispatch from Fort Leavenworth says that Tassel Station, on the Kansas Pacific Railroad, was attacked by the Indians on Friday night last, and two men were killed and four wounded. The train was torn up, and the train from St. Louis was thrown off. A squad of Gen. Custer's regiment now at Fort Leavenworth is in pursuit, and it is hoped that they will overtake them. Orders have been sent to the troops in the Indian country to watch, and, if possible, recapture the stolen train from Sheridan.

A company of the 7th Cavalry has been ordered to scout and picket Asher Creek, near the mouth of the Solomon.

TELEGRAPHIC ITEMS.  
Ole Bull and the Boston Jubilee.—Associated Press Contracts.—Government Gold Sale.

NEW YORK, May 31.—Ole Bull has tendered his services to the peace jubilee in Boston.

The contracts between the New England Associated Press, and also the New York Associated Press, were closed with the New York Association to-day for the next two years.

Nearly \$7,000,000 were bid for Government gold to-day. The bids accepted for the \$10,000,000 were at 138 5/8 to 138 3/4-100.

FROM WASHINGTON.  
FROM LIVERPOOL.

Address of Welcome to Mr. Motley.

LIVERPOOL, May 31.—Mr. Motley received an address of welcome to-day, presented by the Liverpool and American Chamber of Commerce, and proceeded to London.

## FROM DETROIT.

## Escape of Six Prisoners from Jail.

DETROIT, Mich., May 31.—Six prisoners escaped from jail to-day. Among them were Johnson, who attempted to assassinate Allen Pinkerton, and William Dayton, convicted of murder. The lock of the inner door had been taken off for repairs, leaving the prisoners confined by the outer door only, and the jail for an hour was left in charge of a boy seventeen years of age, during whose absence in the basement the door was unlocked by a false key, and the prisoners walked out and proceeded to Canada. A reward is offered of \$500 for the return of Johnson and \$100 for each of the others.

## TELEGRAPHIC MARKETS.

NEW ORLEANS.  
NEW ORLEANS, May 31.—Cotton: Receipts to-day 12,000 bales. Exports 10,000 bales. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

CINCINNATI, May 31.—Flour: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

LOUISVILLE, May 31.—Cotton: Receipts to-day 10,000 bales. Exports 8,000 bales. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

ST. LOUIS, May 31.—Flour: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

CHICAGO, May 31.—Flour: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

NEW YORK, May 31.—Cotton: Receipts to-day 10,000 bales. Exports 8,000 bales. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

ST. LOUIS, May 31.—Flour: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

CHICAGO, May 31.—Flour: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

NEW YORK, May 31.—Cotton: Receipts to-day 10,000 bales. Exports 8,000 bales. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

ST. LOUIS, May 31.—Flour: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

CHICAGO, May 31.—Flour: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

NEW YORK, May 31.—Cotton: Receipts to-day 10,000 bales. Exports 8,000 bales. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

ST. LOUIS, May 31.—Flour: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

CHICAGO, May 31.—Flour: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

NEW YORK, May 31.—Cotton: Receipts to-day 10,000 bales. Exports 8,000 bales. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

ST. LOUIS, May 31.—Flour: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

CHICAGO, May 31.—Flour: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

NEW YORK, May 31.—Cotton: Receipts to-day 10,000 bales. Exports 8,000 bales. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

ST. LOUIS, May 31.—Flour: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

CHICAGO, May 31.—Flour: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

NEW YORK, May 31.—Cotton: Receipts to-day 10,000 bales. Exports 8,000 bales. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

ST. LOUIS, May 31.—Flour: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

CHICAGO, May 31.—Flour: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

NEW YORK, May 31.—Cotton: Receipts to-day 10,000 bales. Exports 8,000 bales. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

ST. LOUIS, May 31.—Flour: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

CHICAGO, May 31.—Flour: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

NEW YORK, May 31.—Cotton: Receipts to-day 10,000 bales. Exports 8,000 bales. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

ST. LOUIS, May 31.—Flour: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

CHICAGO, May 31.—Flour: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

NEW YORK, May 31.—Cotton: Receipts to-day 10,000 bales. Exports 8,000 bales. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

ST. LOUIS, May 31.—Flour: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Sugar: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady. Coffee: Receipts to-day 10,000 tons. Exports 8,000 tons. Market steady.

## SHORT PARAGRAPHS.

## Women's Wants.

Mrs. Aurora H. C. Phelps, whose interesting and sensible speech before the Boston workingwomen we published a few days ago, in a letter to the New York World dated at Boston, May 23, thus sensibly and intelligently puts women's wants: Your reporter made me say: "We desire the ballot, and I say we want bread and clothes first." I said: The poor workingwomen do not, most of them, want the ballot; I do not. I believe the ballot is a luxury, and that the hands of the woman at the present time would be disastrous, in the extreme, to herself and to the community. The time may come, and it will not be long, when the ballot will be a necessity. In the meantime, the possession of the elective franchise would not harm woman and her children, and it would be a blessing to the community. We cannot stand apart from our brethren who need assistance—we must aid them in their time of need—for the instant our church ceases to utter her testimony she becomes apostate.

At the conclusion of Dr. Palmer's address, the Moderator announced that a motion to adopt the report of the Committee on Sustentation was adopted.

## PARDONS.

The Case of Moses and Jacob Depp, Pardoned by Ex-President Johnson.

From the New York World.

At the February term of the United States Circuit Court, Moses and Jacob Depp were convicted of receiving a large quantity of whisky, which had been seized in Collector Callahan's district. Jacob was sentenced to two years' imprisonment in the Blackwell's Island Penitentiary, and to pay a fine of \$1,000. Moses was sentenced to one year's imprisonment in the same penitentiary, and to pay a fine of \$500. The fines were paid, and the prisoners were released. The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

## The Case of Moses and Jacob Depp.

## Pardoned by Ex-President Johnson.

From the New York World.

At the February term of the United States Circuit Court, Moses and Jacob Depp were convicted of receiving a large quantity of whisky, which had been seized in Collector Callahan's district. Jacob was sentenced to two years' imprisonment in the Blackwell's Island Penitentiary, and to pay a fine of \$1,000. Moses was sentenced to one year's imprisonment in the same penitentiary, and to pay a fine of \$500. The fines were paid, and the prisoners were released. The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

## PARDONS.

The Case of Moses and Jacob Depp, Pardoned by Ex-President Johnson.

From the New York World.

At the February term of the United States Circuit Court, Moses and Jacob Depp were convicted of receiving a large quantity of whisky, which had been seized in Collector Callahan's district. Jacob was sentenced to two years' imprisonment in the Blackwell's Island Penitentiary, and to pay a fine of \$1,000. Moses was sentenced to one year's imprisonment in the same penitentiary, and to pay a fine of \$500. The fines were paid, and the prisoners were released. The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

The pardons were revoked by order of President Grant, who was then in office. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods. The pardons were revoked because the prisoners had been found guilty of receiving stolen goods.

## Cuba in the Spanish Cortes.

## The Spanish Cortes is engaged in the debate on the Cuban question, the abolition of slavery and the slave trade.

The Spanish Cortes is engaged in the debate on the Cuban question, the abolition of slavery and the slave trade. The Cortes is divided into two main parties, the Unionists and the Reformers. The Unionists are in favor of maintaining the status quo, while the Reformers are in favor of making radical changes. The debate is expected to continue for some time.

The Spanish Cortes is engaged in the debate on the Cuban question, the abolition of slavery and the slave trade. The Cortes is divided into two main parties, the Unionists and the Reformers. The Unionists are in favor of maintaining the status quo, while the Reformers are in favor of making radical changes. The debate is expected to continue for some time.

## A Question.

What course is to be taken in the approaching election in Virginia, since the voters of that State, it is best to have Walker for governor or Wells?

To this question some answer—We want neither, says the Richmond Dispatch. Neither, in fact, Walker nor Wells is a safe bet. If you elect Walker, you elect a man who will not do you any good. If you elect Wells, you elect a man who will not do you any good